Realizing the Allosteric Potential of the Tetrameric Protein Kinase A Rlα Holoenzyme
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SUMMARY

PKA holoenzymes containing two catalytic (C) subunits and a regulatory (R) subunit dimer are activated cooperatively by cAMP. While cooperativity involves the two tandem cAMP binding domains in each R-subunit, additional cooperativity is associated with the tetramer. Of critical importance is the flexible linker in R that contains an inhibitor site (IS). While the IS becomes ordered in the R:C heterodimer, the overall conformation of the tetramer is mediated largely by the N-Linker that connects the D/D domain to the IS. To understand how the N-Linker contributes to assembly of tetrameric holoenzymes, we engineered a monomeric Rlα that contains most of the N-Linker, Rlα(73-244), and crystallized a holoenzyme complex. Part of the N-linker is now disorder by interactions with a symmetry-related dimer. This complex of two symmetry-related dimers forms a tetramer that reveals novel mechanisms for allosteric regulation and has many features associated with full-length holoenzyme. A model of the tetrameric holoenzyme, based on this structure, is consistent with previous small angle X-ray and neutron scattering data, and is validated with new SAXS data and with an Rlα mutation localized to a novel interface unique to the tetramer.

INTRODUCTION

The regulatory (R) subunits of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) are modular and highly dynamic. In the absence of cAMP, the R subunit dimer is attached to two PKA catalytic (C) subunits and maintains the enzyme in an inactive tetramer. Each R subunit contains a dimerization/docking (D/D) domain at the N terminus that is joined by a flexible linker to two cyclic nucleotide binding domains at the C terminus (CNB-A and CNB-B). The linker contains an inhibitor site (IS) that docks to the active site cleft in the C subunit in the inactive holoenzyme but is disordered in the dissociated free R subunits (Li et al., 2000). The linker, as summarized in Figure 1, can be divided into three segments, the consensus inhibitor site (P-3 to P+1), the N-linker that joins the inhibitor site to the D/D domain, and the C-linker that becomes ordered in the heterodimeric holoenzyme complex. While much has been learned from the structures of the cAMP binding domains of the Rlα and Rlβ subunits (Diller et al., 2001; Su et al., 1995), and the C subunit has been crystallized in many different conformational states (Akamine et al., 2003; Knighton et al., 1991a; Madhusudan et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 1993), it was not until we solved structures of heterodimeric holoenzyme complexes that we could appreciate for the first time how the C subunit was actually inhibited by the R subunits, and how the complex was then activated by cAMP (Brown et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2005, 2007; Wu et al., 2007). The challenge now is to understand how the full-length tetrameric holoenzymes are assembled as these represent the true physiological state of PKA. This is essential if we are to appreciate the full allosteric potential of this key signaling enzyme. An additional goal is to determine how the N-linker contributes to the assembly of the tetrameric holoenzyme.

Mammalian genomes typically code for four separate and functionally nonredundant R subunit isoforms (Rlα, Rlβ, Rlγ, and Rlδ). Although it has been difficult to crystallize full-length R subunits, presumably because the linkers are flexible, structures of the CNB domains and the D/D domains have been solved (Diller et al., 2001; Kinderman et al., 2006; Sarma et al., 2010; Su et al., 1995). To understand how the tetrameric holoenzymes are spatially organized, we initially used small angle X-ray and neutron scattering (SAXS/SANS). This revealed surprisingly that the shapes of the various R subunits and holoenzymes are quite different (Heller et al., 2004; Vigil et al., 2004b, 2006). The Rlα subunit and its corresponding holoenzyme are Y-shaped while the Rlβ subunits are more rod-like and dumb-bell shaped. The Rlα holoenzyme remains extended while the Rlβ holoenzyme compacts into a globular protein (Vigil et al., 2006). These different architectures are due primarily to differences in the N-linker regions (Figure 1) and suggest that the allosteric signaling in each holoenzyme will be distinct. The inhibitor site and the C-linker become organized upon association with the
C subunit; however, the role and ordering of the N-linker, which contains many putative binding motifs, remain unknown.

It is known that cAMP activation of tetrameric holoenzyme is a cooperative process, that is reflected by the increased Hill coefficients (Herberg et al., 1994). Other evidence further supports the importance of the N-linker and the tetrameric configuration of the holoenzyme. Limited proteolysis of free RIα, for example, cleaves at Arg92 just before the inhibitor site, whereas trypsin cleaves at Arg72 in the holoenzyme suggesting that at least part of the N-linker is protected in the holoenzyme (Cheng et al., 2001). In addition, using cysteine mutagenesis coupled with fluorescence anisotropy, we explored the flexibility of residues that lie in different regions of RIα (Li et al., 2000). We found that residues that flank the D/D domain, Thr6 and Leu66, were quite flexible independent of whether cAMP or catalytic subunit was bound. Other residues such as Ser99 were flexible in the dissociated R subunit but immobilized in the holoenzyme. Two residues that lie in the N-linker, Ser75 and Ser81, were flexible in the free RIα subunit but became much less mobile in the holoenzyme suggesting that they might be interacting with another part of the protein and could possibly be contributing to forming the tetramer. A final piece
of evidence that supported the importance of the N-linker for holoenzyme formation came from mutagenesis of two residues in the C subunit that are predicted to lie on the surface where holoenzyme formation came from mutagenesis of two residues of Arg and Glu selectively interfered with binding of Rlz whereas replacing Asp with Ala interfered with binding of Rlz. These results led us to predict that the orientation of the N-linker was not only important for formation of the tetrameric holoenzyme but also that the orientation of the N-linker would be different in RI and RII (Cheng et al., 2001).

The previous Rlz monomers that were crystallized as a complex with the catalytic subunit began with the inhibitor site and contained no ordered residues from the N-linker. To further explore the potential role of N-linker residues and, in particular, to determine whether they contribute to formation of the tetramer, we engineered two longer constructs of Rlz that contained 18 additional residues at the N terminus compared with the constructs that had been crystallized previously as holoenzyme complexes (Figure 1). We also engineered dimeric forms of Rlz that lacked the second CNB Domain, Rlz(1-259) and Rlz(1-244). Although all of these constructs readily formed holoenzyme, only one, Rlz(73-244), crystallized as a holoenzyme complex with crystal packing that was distinct from any of our previous structures.

The crystal structure of Rlz(73-244) bound to catalytic subunit and Mn(2+) AMP-PNP, a nonhydrolysable analog of ATP, was solved to a resolution of 3.3 Å. Although not all of the additional linker was ordered, residues 84–92 could be easily traced. While the inhibitor site docked to the active site cleft of the catalytic subunit as expected, the extended linker interacted primarily with the β4-β5 loop of the symmetry-related dimer. In addition, this β4-β5 loop docked onto the hydrophobic pocket of the catalytic subunit that is created by the αF-αG loop in the symmetry-related dimer, a site that is also used in different ways as a docking site for PKI and RII). While these two heterodimers do not have a high tendency to form a tetramer when they are not tethered to one another by the dimerization/docking domain, there are many features of this holoenzyme complex that are consistent with known features of the tetrameric holoenzyme. Forming the extended interface between the two heterodimers creates a highly allosteric surface that would explain the high Hill coefficient (1.6) that is associated with activation of the tetrameric holoenzyme compared to the heterodimer (Herberg et al., 1994). The two heterodimers are also oriented such that the linkers could be readily joined to the nearby D/D domain. From our previously solved structures, we are only missing 20 residues of the linker. Our model of the quaternary structure of the full-length tetramer, based on this structure, is also independently validated by our previous SAXS and SANS data where the C subunits are well segregated from one another. To further validate this quaternary structure we also obtained SAXS data for an Rlz(1-259):C complex, where the dimeric Rlz is lacking the B domain. This also is consistent with our model. Finally, we demonstrate that a mutation in the proposed interface between two heterodimers not only alters the cAMP induced activation of PKA but also reduces the Hill coefficient. This model allows us for the first time to appreciate the intricate ways in which the binding of a small messenger, cAMP, leads to the allosteric release of kinase inhibition.

RESULTS

Overall Structure of Rlz(73-244):C Complex
To understand how the Rlz N-linker region contributes to the holoenzyme structure, we extended the N terminus of the previous construct of Rlz(91-244) by 18 residues. We also engineered the extended form of Rlz(91-379). Both proteins were very stable, and both readily formed a high-affinity complex with the C subunit that could be isolated on a gel filtration column; however, only the Rlz(73-244) holoenzyme complex gave high-resolution crystals. The crystal structure of Rlz(73-244) in complex with the C subunit, AMP-PNP and two Mn(2+) ions was solved at 3.3 Å. Although the overall structure of the complex is very similar to that of the previously solved Rlz(91-244):C complex (Kim et al., 2005) (Figure 1), the crystal packing was different from other previous structures of free or complexed R and C subunits. The asymmetric unit contains a single heterodimer comprising one R subunit and one C subunit. The C subunit is generally unchanged and is in its closed conformation. The overall conformation of the R subunit is also similar to what was seen in the Rlz(91-244) complex although the β4-β5 loop is shifted about 2.5 Å away from the C subunit. All corresponding α carbon atoms of the two complexes can be superimposed with an rmsd of 0.8 Å (Maiti et al., 2004). In this structure, however, the electron density of the N-terminal linker region in Rlz can now be clearly traced up to Pro84, nine residues more than in the previous Rlz(91-244):C complex. To understand how the N-linker is ordered, one must look to the symmetry-related dimer. Specifically, it is the β4-β5 loop of the symmetry-related dimer that orders the N-linker. The consequences of this ordering creates a tetrameric configuration of the two heterodimers that not only orders the N-linker of each dimer but also creates an allosteric symmetry-related interface that explains clearly and for the first time why the tetramer is essential for the highly cooperative activation of PKA by cAMP. The tetramer that is formed by the two symmetry mates is also consistent with our models of the full-length tetrameric Rlz holoenzyme based on small angle X-ray and neutron scattering (SAXS and SANS).

N-Linker Is Ordered by Intermolecular Interactions between Symmetry-Related Holoenzymes
The inhibitor site is defined as residues P-3 to P+1 in the linker, and as Rlz is a pseudosubstrate it has alanine in the P-site position (Ala57). While this segment docks to active site of the C subunit in more or less the same conformation as described previously in the PKI complex and in the Rlz and RII holoenzyme complexes, in this structure we can now trace the N-terminal linker up to Pro84. However, as seen in Figure 1C, most of this segment extruded out from the complex and did not make any interactions with its own R or C subunit. Analysis of the crystal packing showed that stabilization of this flexible linker is provided by a symmetry mate, designated here as R*:C (Figure 2). The two symmetry-related dimers have an extensive interface area: 829 Å² between the two R subunits (R to R’) and two 243 Å² interfaces between C and R subunits (C to R’ and C’ to R). The combined interface between C-R and C’-R’, thus, was 1316 Å², and this is comparable to the interface between the C and R subunits within each holoenzyme
dimer (1524 Å²). The interface was predominantly hydrophobic with desolvation energy estimated at −10.7 kcal/mol (Krissinel and Henrick, 2007).

The major element that interacted with the extended linker in the interface was the β4-β5 loop in the cyclic nucleotide-binding (CNB) A domain from the R’ subunit in the symmetry-related heterodimer. This loop (Figure 3), which is highly conserved in an isoform-specific manner, is exposed to solvent in the absence of the N-linker, but in the presence of the N-linker, this loop from the symmetry-related dimer provides a mechanism for bridging the N-linker and the C subunit on the other dimer. Since the complex observed in the crystal had 2-fold rotational symmetry, the β4-β5 loop in each R:C heterodimer was bound in exactly the same way to the symmetry-related heterodimer. We will consider first exactly how the β4-β5 loop from one dimer docks on the other dimer and then consider the consequences of this for creating a unique allosteric mechanism for activation of the tetrameric holoenzyme.

The N-Linker Is Ordered by the β4-β5 Loop of the Symmetry-Related Dimer

As shown in Figure 3A, the β4-β5 loop from the R’ subunit interacts with the N-linker from the symmetry-related R subunit and in addition docks onto the hydrophobic pocket in the symmetry-related C subunit that is formed by the αF-αG loop. Three residues, Tyr¹⁸³, Trp¹⁸⁸, and Ser¹⁹¹, contribute prominently to this interface that is created by the β4-β5 loop, and this interface can be divided into two distinct but overlapping segments. We will consider first the interactions of the β4-β5 loop with the neighboring N-linker and then its interactions with the neighboring C subunit.

The two hydrophobic residues, Tyr¹⁸³ and Trp¹⁸⁸, are buttressed up against the N-linker and provide the major interface with the N-linker. In addition, two hydrogen bonds between Asn¹⁸⁶ from the β4-β5 loop of the R’ subunit and backbone carbonyls of the N-terminal linker from the R subunit (Pro¹⁸⁸ and Val¹⁸⁹) were detected, and these anchor the proline-rich segment that is a characteristic feature of the N-linker in RI subunits.

As seen in Figure 3 the β4-β5 loop also interacts with the αF-αG loop in the C subunit of the symmetry-related dimer, and this site is known to be an important docking site for PKA and for other kinases as well. Specifically, Trp¹⁸⁸ and Ser¹⁹¹ from the β4-β5 loop dock to the hydrophobic pocket on the C subunit that is formed by Tyr²²⁵ and Phe²³⁹ from the αF-αG loop (Figure 4A). Although solvent exposed residues of this pocket are different in different kinases, its backbone geometry is absolutely conserved and is defined by a set of hydrophobic interactions between highly conserved residues: Trp²²², Tyr²²⁹, Pro²³⁷, Phe²³⁸, and Ile²⁴⁶ (Figures 4A and 4B). This site is anchored to the central F helix that serves as a general scaffold for most of the important residues in protein kinases (Kornev et al., 2008). This pocket often serves as an important protein/protein docking site. It is this pocket that is used as a tethering site for the amphipathic helix of PKI which binds with high affinity to the C subunit (Knighton et al., 1991b). This pocket is also used in a very different way by the N-linker of the RII subunit when it is trapped in a complex with AMP-PNP (Brown et al., 2009) (Figure 4C). The αF-αG loop also links residues in the core that interact with P-3 and P-2 residues in the inhibitor peptide and the P+1 loop which provides the docking site for the P+1 residue. Finally, this loop positions the G helix which is a critical docking site for the regulatory subunits and for other tethered substrate proteins (Figure 4A). This loop is thus a fundamental feature for peptide/protein recognition by every protein kinase.

In addition to Tyr²²⁵ and Phe²³⁹ from the αF-αG loop, the hydrophobic interface was formed by two additional residues from the N-terminal linker, Val⁸⁵ (P-7) and Arg⁹² (P-5) (Figure 3C). Arg⁹² in the P-5 position is strictly conserved in Rlx subunits and is absent in RII subunits. Sequence alignment of the R subunits (Figures 1B and 3B) indicates that the β4-β5 loop and the N-linker are among the most isoform distinct sequences given the high homology of the RI and RII subunits. Figure 3B compares the sequence in the β4-β5 loop region in various RI and RII subunits. All four residues of the interface between two heterodimers are highly conserved in Rlx, less conserved in RIIb, but are not conserved in RII subunits. In the RII subunits this segment is conserved differently suggesting that it will contribute in different ways to their holoenzyme structures, and this is consistent with our SAXS data (Vigil et al., 2004b).
N-Linker Contacts Explain Importance of Asp\textsuperscript{328} and Arg\textsuperscript{133} of the C Subunit for the Holoenzyme Formation

In the earlier work (Cheng et al., 2001), we demonstrated that sequence diversity in the N-linker of RI\textsubscript{a} and RII\textsubscript{b} is functionally important. Mutagenesis of two C subunit residues, Arg\textsuperscript{133} and Asp\textsuperscript{328}, showed that Arg\textsuperscript{133} is important for the formation of RII\textsubscript{b} holoenzyme whereas Asp\textsuperscript{328} was more important for RI\textsubscript{a} subunit. In all previously solved structures Arg\textsuperscript{133} interacts with Glu\textsuperscript{230} from the F helix, while Asp\textsuperscript{328} is solvent exposed (Figure 5A). In this structure, however, the P-5 Arg\textsuperscript{92} binds to the Glu\textsuperscript{230} causing Arg\textsuperscript{133} to flip about 180° so that it is now contacting Asp\textsuperscript{328} (Figure 5B). As we pointed out, Arg\textsuperscript{92} is a characteristic feature of RI\textsubscript{a} subunits that requires ATP and two Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ions for the full-length holoenzyme formation. The observed flip of Arg\textsuperscript{133} further locks the ATP-bound holoenzyme into a closed conformation where the N- and C-lobes together bury the ATP. Here, we can see why loss of Asp\textsuperscript{328} is uniquely detrimental to the formation RI\textsubscript{a} holoenzymes. Mutation of Glu\textsuperscript{230} to Gin led to disruption of the Arg\textsuperscript{133}:Glu\textsuperscript{230} salt bridge and destabilization of the Arg\textsuperscript{133} side chain (1SYK; Wu et al., 2005). The only other wild-type PKA structure that did not have the Arg\textsuperscript{133}:Glu\textsuperscript{230} salt bridge was the apo C subunit with its open active site cleft and partly unstructured C-terminal tail (1J3H; Akamine et al., 2003).

Here, we present the first evidence to show that Arg\textsuperscript{133} can form a salt bridge with Asp\textsuperscript{328} from the C-tail. It was also shown previously that mutagenesis of Asp\textsuperscript{328} can decrease the catalytic efficiency of PKA, but it was not clear why (Batkin et al., 2000).

Allosteric Interface Is Created in the Tetrameric Complex

The detailed features showing how the N- and C-linkers are anchored in the tetrameric holoenzyme that is made up of two...
**Figure 4. αF-αG Loop Is a Universal Docking Site**

(A) Geometry of the αF-αG loop is conserved through all protein kinases. It is defined by conserved hydrophobic interactions of Pro$^{237}$ and Trp$^{222}$, which are connected by the universal APE motif (colored red). The β4-β5 loop docking site is indicated by the yellow arrow.

(B) Structure based sequence alignment of αF-αG loops in different protein kinases. Universally conserved residues are shaded yellow. Residues that form hydrophobic interface are shaded gray. Two positions corresponding to Y$^{235}$ and F$^{239}$, which are exposed on the surface of the docking site are framed in red.

(C) Protein kinase A inhibitor PKI and N-terminal linker of RII dock to the same groove formed by the αF-αG loop.
symmetry-related dimers are indicated in Figure 6. What is revealed here for the first time is how binding of cAMP to one site can simultaneously influence both the R:C interface in its own R:C partner as we saw in earlier structures but also how it can influence positioning of the N-linker from the symmetry-related dimer. Such influence is provided by the multiple direct contacts of the β4-β5 loop region of each CNB-A domain to the N-terminal linker of the opposite Regulatory subunit (Figure 3C). This would explain for the first time why the Hill Coefficient is increased in the tetramer as compared with the dimer (Herberg et al., 1994). It also creates a unique symmetry that was never previously appreciated. This is the surface that faces toward the C-Terminal surface of the helical D/D domain, and it remains to be determined whether there are additional interactions that are created between the D/D domain and the tetrameric configuration of the two dimers. In addition, as seen in Figure 1, there are two predicted phosphorylation sites in the missing 20 residues of the N-linker, and these could significantly alter the configuration of the tetramer as well as the allosteric properties of the tetramer.

Changes in the Phosphate Binding Cassette
The signature motif for cAMP binding is the phosphate binding cassette (PBC), which is embedded within the β subdomain of the CNB. As shown previously, the PBC is distorted in the holoenzyme and assumes a conformation that has a low affinity for cAMP (Kim et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2007). Figure 7 shows how the PBC is further distorted by the docking of the β4-β5 loop to the N-linker of the symmetry-related dimer. In this figure, the positions of the β4-β5 loop and PBC in the cAMP-bound conformation are compared with their conformation in the holoenzyme complexes that are formed with RIα(91-244) where the N-linker is missing versus RIα(73-244) where the N-linker is included. From this alignment, it is clear that the configuration of the β4-β5 loop is not significantly altered by its interactions with the symmetry-related dimer, although its position is slightly displaced. However, two changes are seen in the PBC. The tip of the PBC is different when the N-linker is present and the region extending from Arg209 to Ala211 is moved slightly. This slight movement of Ala210 is significant, because this segment provides part of the hydrophobic packing for the nucleotide. This segment is referred to as the “base binding region” (McNicholl et al., 2010; Rehmann et al., 2003). This distortion of the PBC:β4 interface causes the cAMP binding pocket to be occluded so that access of the nucleotide to the PBC is further restricted. This likely makes this complex even more resistant to activation by cAMP.

Model of the RIα Tetrameric Holoenzyme Is Consistent with SAXS/SANS Data
Previously, we assessed the general shape of PKA trimers by using small angle X-ray and neutron scattering (SAXS/SANS) techniques (Heller et al., 2004; Vigil et al., 2006). Deuterium labeling of RIα subunits showed, in particular, that in the tetrameric holoenzyme they are in a close contact, while the two C subunits are completely separated. To test if our present results are consistent with these data we created a model of the full-length PKA tetramer based on the two symmetry-related dimers in our crystal (Figure 8A). To introduce the C-terminal CNB domain that was missing in the current RIα construct, we used structure of the C subunit bound to the RIα(91-379) that also has an R333K mutation that prevents cAMP binding to the B domain (2QCS) (Kim et al., 2007). Two copies of this heterodimer were positioned according to the packing depicted in
Experimental Validation of the Model

To test this model of the tetramer, we used two approaches. One strategy was to characterize the tetrameric holoenzyme formed with RIα(1-259) (Figure 1A) that is only 15 residues longer than the crystallized construct. This dimer expresses well and the holoenzyme formed readily. The Ka (cAMP) had a Hill coefficient of less than 1.0 as reported previously (Leon et al., 1994) confirming the importance of the B domain for allosteroy. The SAXS intensity profile of the RIα(1-259):C complex was close to the theoretical curve predicted by our model (Figure S1C).

To further validate the PKA holoenzyme model, we mutated Trp^{188} from the β4-β5 loop to Asp. As shown in Figure 3B the sequence of the β4-β5 loop is highly conserved in an isoform-specific manner. Nevertheless, there is no obvious role for this loop in either the cAMP bound structure or for the heterodimer with the C subunit and the deletion mutant of RIα. In all of these earlier structures, the β4-β5 is exposed to solvent. Previous studies of folding showed that the mutation of Trp^{188} had no effect on overall structure or unfolding of RIα (Leon et al., 2000). However, according to our model, Trp^{188} is one of the key contact residues in this loop. As seen in Figure 8D, the W188D mutation interfered with cAMP induced activation of PKA increasing the EC_{50} from 29 to 95 nM. In addition the Hill coefficient decreased from 2.1 to 1.7. While the detailed mechanism for the complex and novel allosteric interactions between the A and B domains in the tetramer versus the dimer are now being defined comprehensively by further analysis of a set of mutants in the β4-β5 loop, as well as in the linker, the results shown here demonstrate that modification of the β4-β5 loop is sufficient to perturb interactions between the two heterodimers in the tetrameric holoenzyme. Single particle image reconstruction of the full-length RIα tetramer is also generating models that are quite consistent with the model proposed here (unpublished results).

DISCUSSION

To probe the function of the N-linker in the RIα subunit of PKA and specifically to determine whether the N-linker contributes to assembly of the tetrameric holoenzyme and to allosteroy, we engineered a monomeric form of RIα that contains most of the N-linker, RIα(73-244), and crystallized a holoenzyme complex. In this holoenzyme the N-linker is extended by 18 residues compared to our previous structures (Kim et al., 2005, 2007). Although nine N-terminal residues were not resolved, the coordinates for an additional nine N-linker residues were resolved for the first time. Surprisingly, they were not bound to the C subunit of their own heterodimer (Figure 1C). Instead, the linker was docked onto the R subunit of the symmetry-related heterodimer (Figure 2). Specifically, the β4-β5 loop from one RIα subunit was docked onto the N-linker of a symmetry-related dimer thus creating a novel tetrameric interface. Analysis of the interface between the two heterodimers showed that it is mostly hydrophobic and can be relatively stable in solution. This led us to the suggestion that this interface can in fact represent interactions between R and C subunits in the full-length PKA tetrameric complex. This suggestion is supported by several observations including enhanced cooperativity in the tetramer (Herberg et al., 1994), protection of the N-linker from proteolytic cleavage in the holoenzyme compared to free RIα, and isoform-specificity for docking of this portion of the N-linker (Cheng et al., 2001). It was also shown that mutation of Arg^{133} in the C subunit is important for RIβ holoenzyme formation, while mutation of Asp^{328}...
plays an essential role in RIα holoenzyme. Our model for the first time can provide explanation of these results.

The structure also explains for the first time why the β4-β5 loop and the segment of the N-linker that immediately precedes the inhibitor site are conserved in such a unique way in each isoform.

The importance of the β4-β5 loop for activation of the tetrameric holoenzyme was also confirmed by mutagenesis. Finally, a model of the tetrameric holoenzyme, based on this structure, is quite consistent with our previous SAXS and SANS results (Heller et al., 2004; Vigil et al., 2004a). To further confirm the model we carried out SAXS analysis of a mutant RIα tetramer that lacks the B domain.

Two methods, limited proteolysis (Cheng et al., 2001) and cys-scanning mutagenesis of linker residues coupled with
fluorescence polarization (Li et al., 2000), indicated that the N-linker is flexible in free Rlα but ordered in the holoenzyme. It was shown that limited proteolysis of cAMP-bound Rlα with trypsin cleaves at Arg274 whereas in the holoenzyme cleavage occurs at Arg72. Cys scanning mutagenesis, on the other hand, allowed us to probe the flexibility of residues in this region (positions 75 and 81). These results also support the conclusion that the N-linker is much more ordered in the holoenzyme. In this structure we begin to understand for the first time how the N-linker can be ordered.

As summarized in Figure 9, direct docking of the linker region to the R subunit of the symmetry-related heterodimer can explain the enhanced cooperativity of activation in the tetramer (Herberg et al., 1994). Although the entire linker region is disordered in the cAMP-bound Rlα subunit, the inhibitor site through the C-linker becomes ordered at the R:C interface when the Rlα subunit binds to the C subunit (Kim et al., 2005, 2007). Of particular interest here is the holoenzyme complex of Rlα(92-244) where the Rlα subunit begins with the inhibitor site. Extending the N-linker segment by 18 residues causes the protein to crystallize in a completely different space group and an additional portion of the N-linker can now be seen. Figure 9, in particular, shows how the N-linker is ordered by the β4–β5 loop of the symmetry-related dimer and also shows how cAMP binding to one dimer will not only release its own associated C subunit through previously described interactions with its own C-linker; it will also unleash the adjacent heterodimer through its interactions with the N-linker. In our model, binding of cAMP to one of the R subunits in the tetramer will directly affect the linker of the other R subunit, thus promoting dissociation of both C subunits and contributing to the enhanced allostery that is characteristic of the tetrameric holoenzyme.

The interface between the two heterodimers in our model also highlights two regions that are highly conserved in Rlα but are different in the RII subunits. This suggests that the observed interaction is not a result of random crystal packing but is biologically relevant. One such region is the β4–β5 loop, which is linked to a motif that is referred to as the "base binding region" (McNicholl et al., 2010; Rehmann et al., 2003) because it makes a hydrophobic contact to the adenine ring (nucleobase) of cAMP. Specifically, Val182 in β4 together with Ala210 and Ala211 form one side of the hydrophobic cap that provide the docking site for the adenine ring of cAMP (Figure 7). The adjacent loop itself is not conserved through different CNB domains but is highly conserved in Rlα subunits (Figure 3B). In all of our previous structures this loop is exposed to solvent. Here, we see a molecular explanation for the conserved residues, as they bind to another Rlα–specific region of the N-linker, the segment that precedes the inhibitory site (Figure 1). It is the complementarily between these two sites that provides an explanation for their conservation.

Convincing evidence that this tetrameric configuration of the two Rlα heterodimers reflects the general conformation of the full-length tetrameric holoenzyme comes from our previous analyses of the Rlα holoenzyme conformation in solution using SAXS and SANS. The proposed model of the tetrameric holoenzyme that we built here based on the Rlα(73-244) crystal structure is consistent with low resolution models based on SAXS/SANS data reported earlier (Heller et al., 2004) and additional SAXS experiments of the Rlα(1-259):C complex. Even more convincing is the substantial separation of the C subunits in the complex. This was first detected by SANS experiments and is also reflected by our model. Additionally this model is supported by mutagenesis of the β4–β5 loop demonstrating that alteration of the predicted interface in the tetramer perturbs cAMP dependent activation of PKA (Figure 8).

While details of this model obviously need to be confirmed by further biochemical studies as well as by further structures, it provides for the first time a framework for understanding how the tetramer can be allosterically regulated, potentially in both positive and/or negative ways, that would not be possible in the simple heterodimer. It also emphasizes how important it is to obtain structures of larger complexes that more accurately reflect full-length proteins if we are to appreciate the full allosteric potential of the highly dynamic signaling proteins.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Protein Preparation**

The catalytic subunit was expressed and purified as previously described (Gangal et al., 1998). The peak I of C subunit which contains four phosphorylated residues (Ser10, Ser139, Thr197, and Ser339) was used for crystallography. Three regulatory subunit deletion mutants (Rlα(73-379), Rlα(73-379:R333K), and Rlα(73-244)) were generated by Quikchange site-directed mutagenesis according to the Stratagene protocol. These mutants contained most of the linker residues compared to the previous structure of Rlα (91-244). All mutants were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells (Novagen) and purified as described previously (Saraswat et al., 1988) with slight modification. The cells were lysed and the spin supernatant was filtered with a 0.22 mm filter and loaded onto a Profinia protein purification system. The sample was run over
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Data Collection and Refinement Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RIx(73-244):C</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Collection</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of molecule per asymmetrical unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork / Rmerge (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of protein residues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of ligand/ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmsd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran angles (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most favored (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aValues in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell (3.30–3.39 Å).

a cartridge containing cAMP bound to resin, washed with lysis buffer containing 0.7 M NaCl and then eluted with lysis buffer containing 35 mM cGMP (pH 5.8). Eluted protein was then run on S75 gel filtration column.

Complex Formation
Each of the RIx mutants were mixed separately with the wild-type C subunit in a 1:1.2 molar ratio and dialyzed at 4°C in 10 mM MOPS (pH 7.0), 2 mM MnCl₂, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP-HCl, and 0.2 mM AMP-PNP.

Crytalization
The RIx(73-244):C complex was crystallized in 0.1 M MES (pH 6.0) and 12% PEG 20,000 by using a Douglas Instruments Oryx8 crystallography robot as 1:1 protein solution:crystallizing solution and incubated at room temperature. Crystals were flash frozen in a cryoprotectant solution (mother liquor containing 15% glycerol) and a data set was collected to 3.3 Å on the Advanced Light Source beamline 8.2.1. Data were processed and scaled using HKL2000 (Table 1). The structure was solved using the RIx(91-244):C complex structure as the molecular replacement probe.

RIx(73-379):C complex was initially crystallized in 30% PEG 400, 0.1 M HEPES (pH 7.5), 0.2 M NaCl using Douglas Instruments Oryx8 crystallography robot. Drops were set up under silicone oil as 1:1 protein solution:crystallizing solution. Crystals could only be obtained by comparing to 8 Å. RIx(73-379:R333K) with a mutation in the essential arginine in the PBC of the cAMP-binding domain B did not purify well and had many contaminating proteins, so it was not set up for crystallization.

Phasing for the structure was made by molecular replacement in AMORE using 3FH1 as a search model. Refinement was made by REFMAC and CNS 1.2 programs. The model was manually built based on the density maps using Coot. The final model was evaluated by PROCHECK and had good geometry.

cAMP-Induced Activation
A fluorescence polarization assay was used to monitor PKA activity as described earlier (Saldanha et al., 2006). C subunit concentration was 10 nM. R subunit was added in 1:3.1 molar ratio. Solution contained 1 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 2 nM FAM-IP20.

Small Angle Scattering Evaluation
Evaluation of the X-ray solution scattering curves was made by CRYSSOL program (Svergun et al., 1995). Previously published SAXS data (Heller et al., 2004) were used for the space filling model for Figure 7B by GASBOR program (Svergun et al., 2001).

ACCESSION NUMBERS
The atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (accession code 3PV8).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes one figure and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.str.2010.12.005.
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